Form: TH-04



townhall.virginia.gov

# **Fast-Track Regulation Agency Background Document**

Agency name	Board of Agriculture and Consumer Services
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) citation(s)	2 VAC 5-180
Regulation title(s)	Rules and Regulations Governing Pseudorabies in Virginia
Action title	Repeal
Date this document prepared	April 2, 2015

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Orders 17 (2014) and 58 (1999), and the *Virginia Register Form, Style, and Procedure Manual.* 

## **Brief summary**

Please provide a brief summary (preferably no more than 2 or 3 paragraphs) of the proposed new regulation, proposed amendments to the existing regulation, or the regulation proposed to be repealed. Alert the reader to all substantive matters or changes. If applicable, generally describe the existing regulation.

The existing regulation provides the framework for the eradication of pseudorabies in Virginia swine. Pseudorabies is an infectious disease of swine, and it can also affect other domestic animals. Pseudorabies was common in swine in much of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, but through a structured and effective program, it has been eradicated from commercial swine in the United States.

Through the testing of swine, removal of those swine found to be positive for pseudorabies, and vaccination of susceptible populations, as required in the regulation, pseudorabies was eradicated from Virginia, with the last known case occurring in the 1980s.

## **Acronyms and Definitions**

Please define all acronyms used in the Agency Background Document. Also, please define any technical terms that are used in the document that are not also defined in the "Definition" section of the regulations.

Form: TH-04

#### Statement of final agency action

Please provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including:1) the date the action was taken; 2) the name of the agency taking the action; and 3) the title of the regulation.

On March 19, 2015, the Board of Agriculture and Consumer Services (Board) voted to repeal 2 VAC 5-180, Rules and Regulations Governing Pseudorabies in Virginia, and the Board authorized staff to take any and all steps necessary to repeal this regulation through fast-track regulatory action.

#### **Legal basis**

Please identify the state and/or federal legal authority to promulgate this proposed regulation, including: 1) the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or General Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable; and 2) promulgating entity, i.e., agency, board, or person. Your citation should include a specific provision authorizing the promulgating entity to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency/board/person's overall regulatory authority.

Section 3.2-109 of the Code of Virginia (Code) establishes the Board as a policy board with the authority to adopt regulations in accordance with the provisions of Title 3.2 of the Code.

Sections 3.2-6001 of the Code authorizes the Board and the State Veterinarian to protect livestock and poultry from contagious and infectious disease. Section 3.2-6002 of the Code authorizes the Board to adopt regulations to prevent the spread of and eradicate contagious and infectious livestock and poultry diseases.

#### **Purpose**

Please explain the need for the new or amended regulation. Describe the rationale or justification of the proposed regulatory action. Describe the specific reasons the regulation is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens. Discuss the goals of the proposal and the problems the proposal is intended to solve.

This regulation was developed and used during the successful eradication efforts for pseudorabies in swine. The regulation is now outdated. The tests and programs included in the regulation have not been utilized in at least 15 years. As such, this regulation is no longer needed. If pseudorabies returns to Virginia, the agency has the ability to manage disease outbreaks and quarantine animals under statutory authority.

#### **Rationale for using fast-track process**

Please explain the rationale for using the fast-track process in promulgating this regulation. Why do you expect this rulemaking to be noncontroversial?

Form: TH-04

As pseudorabies has not been detected in swine in Virginia since the 1980s, there is no longer a need for this regulation. The agency is not aware of any stakeholders suggesting that the regulation be retained or that the regulation is of any benefit to them.

#### **Substance**

Please briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both. A more detailed discussion is provided in the "Detail of changes" section below.

Due to the eradication of pseudorabies from commercial swine in Virginia, this regulation is no longer needed. Therefore, the agency proposes to repeal the regulation.

#### **Issues**

Please identify the issues associated with the proposed regulatory action, including: 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions; 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, please indicate.

The primary advantage to the public in repealing the regulation is that there would no longer be an outdated regulation that specifies actions that are no longer taken. The agency and Commonwealth will no longer be in a position of having an outdated regulation that is not enforced. This action is part of good governance in that an outdated, unnecessary regulation will be eliminated. There are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth associated with repealing the regulation.

#### Requirements more restrictive than federal

Please identify and describe any requirement of the proposal which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a statement to that effect.

Repealing this regulation does not lead to any state requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements.

# **Localities particularly affected**

Please identify any locality particularly affected by the proposed regulation. Locality particularly affected means any locality which bears any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other localities.

There are no localities that are particularly affected by the repeal of this regulation.

#### Regulatory flexibility analysis

Form: TH-04

Pursuant to § 2.2-4007.1B of the Code of Virginia, please describe the agency's analysis of alternative regulatory methods, consistent with health, safety, environmental, and economic welfare, that will accomplish the objectives of applicable law while minimizing the adverse impact on small business. Alternative regulatory methods include, at a minimum: 1) the establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; 2) the establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; 3) the consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; 4) the establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and 5) the exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulation.

Repealing this regulation will result in less stringent requirements.

#### **Economic impact**

Please identify the anticipated economic impact of the proposed new regulations or amendments to the existing regulation. When describing a particular economic impact, please specify which new requirement or change in requirement creates the anticipated economic impact.

There will be no economic impact associated with the repeal of this regulation.

#### **Alternatives**

Please describe any viable alternatives to the proposal considered and the rationale used by the agency to select the least burdensome or intrusive alternative that meets the essential purpose of the action. Also, include discussion of less intrusive or less costly alternatives for small businesses, as defined in § 2.2-4007.1 of the Code of Virginia, of achieving the purpose of the regulation.

Viable alternatives to this action would be to retain the regulation as is or to revise it. The retention of an outdated regulation that is no longer applicable is unnecessary. The agency could revise the regulation, but without a swine pseudorabies problem in Virginia, a regulation addressing eradication and control efforts is no longer necessary.

#### **Public participation notice**

If an objection to the use of the fast-track process is received within the 30-day public comment period from 10 or more persons, any member of the applicable standing committee of either house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules, the agency shall: 1) file notice of the objections with the Registrar of Regulations for publication in the Virginia Register; and 2) proceed with the normal promulgation process with the initial publication of the fast-track regulation serving as the Notice of Intended Regulatory Action.

#### Periodic review and small business impact review report of findings

Form: TH-04

If this fast-track is the result of a periodic review/small business impact review, use this form to report the agency's findings. Please (1) summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review and (2) indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in Executive Order 17 (2014), e.g., is necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and is clearly written and easily understandable. In addition, as required by 2.2-4007.1 E and F, please include a discussion of the agency's consideration of: (1) the continued need for the regulation; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the regulation from the public; (3) the complexity of the regulation; (4) the extent to the which the regulation overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with federal or state law or regulation; and (5) the length of time since the regulation has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation.

This action is the result of a periodic review and small business impact review. During the public comment period from January 12, 2015, through February 2, 2015, the agency did not receive any comments. During the periodic review, staff determined that this regulation is no longer necessary.

## **Family impact**

Please assess the impact of this regulatory action on the institution of the family and family stability including to what extent the regulatory action will: 1) strengthen or erode the authority and rights of parents in the education, nurturing, and supervision of their children; 2) encourage or discourage economic self-sufficiency, self-pride, and the assumption of responsibility for oneself, one's spouse, and one's children and/or elderly parents; 3) strengthen or erode the marital commitment; and 4) increase or decrease disposable family income.

This regulatory action has no impact on the institution of the family or family stability.

#### **Detail of changes**

Please list all changes that are being proposed and the consequences of the proposed changes; explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the proposed text of the regulation. If the proposed regulation is a new chapter, describe the intent of the language and the expected impact. Please describe the difference between existing regulation(s) and/or agency practice(s) and what is being proposed in this regulatory action. If the proposed regulation is intended to replace an emergency regulation, please list separately: (1) all differences between the **pre**-emergency regulation and this proposed regulation; and 2) only changes made since the publication of the emergency regulation.

This regulatory action proposes to repeal all of 2 VAC 5-180, Rules and Regulations Governing Pseudorabies in Virginia.